IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHERN DIVISION

)
) Civil Action No.: 2:07-cv-00701-WKW
<i>)</i>)
)
)

ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

COMES NOW defendant Page Walley, individually and in his official capacity as Commissioner of the State of Alabama Department of Human Resources, by and through his undersigned counsel, and answers Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint as follows:

- 1. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 1 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 2. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 2 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 3. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of ¶ 3 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and so denies those allegations and demands strict proof thereof.
- 4. Defendant admits that Defendant Page Walley is the Commissioner of the Alabama Department of Human Resources (DHR) sued in his official capacity.

Defendant denies the remaining allegations of ¶ 4 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.

- Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 5 of Plaintiff's 5. First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 6. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 6 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 7. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 7 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 8. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 8 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 9. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 9 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 10. Defendant is without sufficient information to admit or deny the allegations of ¶ 10 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and so denies those allegations and demands strict proof thereof.
- 11. Defendant admits that in 1994 Rodney Wooten divorced Marcia Conner with whom he had a daughter, K.W.
- 12. Defendant admits that as a result of Rodney's divorce, he was ordered to pay child support for K.W. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of ¶ 12 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 13. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 13 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.

- 14. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 14 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 15. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 15 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 16. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 16 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 17. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 17 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 18. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 18 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 19. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 19 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 20. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 20 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 21. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 21 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 22. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 22 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 23. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 23 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 24. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 24 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.

Page 3 of 7

- 25. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 25 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 26. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 26 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 27. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 27 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 28. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 28 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.
- 29. Defendant denies each and every allegation contained in ¶ 29 of Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint and demands strict proof thereof.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Further answering, and/or by way of affirmative defenses, Defendant show as follows:

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is without standing to pursue her claims.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant is immune from all claims due to sovereign immunity.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

No cause of action exists for state declaratory or injunctive relief against Defendant.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is entitled to no relief in the premises.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff has alleged no justiciable controversy.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

This Court is without subject-matter jurisdiction of the matters and things alleged in Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant acted at all times material hereto within the line and scope of his employment and authority.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant states that at all times material hereto he acted in good faith.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant states that at all times material hereto he was entitled to qualified immunity.

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The statute of limitations bars any actions alleged in Plaintiff's Original and First Amended Complaint that occurred prior to two years before the filing of Plaintiff's Original and First Amended Complaint.

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

TROY KING ATTORNEY GENERAL

SHARON E. FICQUETTE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL STATE OF ALABAMA

s/ Jennifer M. Bush
JENNIFER M. BUSH (BUS016)
Assistant Attorney General

State of Alabama Department of Human Resources P.O. Box 304000 Montgomery, Alabama 36130-4000 Phone: (334) 242-9330

Fax: (334) 242-0689

ATTORNEYS FOR PAGE WALLEY

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 19, 2007, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Keith Anderson Nelms 847 South McDonough Street Montgomery, AL 36104

s/ Jennifer M. Bush
JENNIFER M. BUSH (BUS016)
Assistant Attorney General

State of Alabama Department of Human Resources P.O. Box 304000 Montgomery, Alabama 36130-4000

Phone: (334) 242-9330 Fax: (334) 242-0689

ATTORNEY FOR PAGE WALLEY